

Worksheet answers for 2021-11-08

If you would like clarification on any problems, feel free to ask me in person. (Do let me know if you catch any mistakes!)

Answers to conceptual questions

Question 1.

- (a) This one definitely makes sense—you've used it a lot! The output is a vector field.
- (b) $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}$ makes sense and outputs a scalar function. But you can't take the curl of that, so the entire expression is nonsense.
- (c) This expression makes sense and outputs a vector field.
- (d) $\nabla \times f$ doesn't make sense; you can't take the curl of a scalar function.
- (e) This makes sense and outputs a scalar function. In fact this is called the Laplacian, sometimes denoted $\nabla^2 f$.
- (f) This makes sense and outputs a scalar function.
- (g) This makes sense and outputs a scalar function.

Question 2.

- (a) makes sense and certainly can be nonzero, e.g. take $f(x, y, z) = x$.
- (c) makes sense but is always zero because $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F}) = 0$.
- (e) makes sense and can be nonzero, e.g. take $f(x, y, z) = x^2$.
- (f) makes sense and is always zero since $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times (\text{vector field})) = 0$.
- (g) makes sense and is always zero too; using the provided identity you can rewrite it as

$$\nabla g \cdot (\nabla \times \nabla f) - \nabla f \cdot (\nabla \times \nabla g)$$

but both $\nabla \times \nabla f$ and $\nabla \times \nabla g$ are equal to $\mathbf{0}$ (the zero vector field).

Answers to computations

Problem 1. We proceed using the identities provided.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(r^n) &= \nabla((r^2)^{n/2}) \\ &= \frac{n}{2}(r^2)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} 2\mathbf{r} \\ &= \boxed{nr^{n-2}\mathbf{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \times (r^{n-1}\mathbf{r}) &= (\nabla r^{n-1}) \times \mathbf{r} + r^{n-1}(\nabla \times \mathbf{r}) \\ &= (n-1)r^{n-3}\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{0} \\ &= \boxed{\mathbf{0}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ (because the cross product of parallel vectors is zero), and also that $\nabla \times \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$ (easily checked by direct computation).

Alternatively, if $n \neq -1$, we could note that

$$r^{n-1}\mathbf{r} = \nabla\left(\frac{1}{n+1}r^{n+1}\right)$$

using part (a). This means that $r^{n-1}\mathbf{r}$ is conservative, so it must have zero curl:

$$\nabla \times (r^{n-1}\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \times \nabla\left(\frac{1}{n+1}r^{n+1}\right) = \mathbf{0}.$$

(It's still conservative when $n = -1$, but in that case the potential function will involve \ln .)

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \cdot (r^{n-1} \mathbf{r}) &= (\nabla r^{n-1}) \cdot \mathbf{r} + r^{n-1} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{r}) \\ &= (n-1)r^{n-3} \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} + 3r^{n-1} \\ &= (n-1)r^{n-1} + 3r^{n-1} = \boxed{(n+2)r^{n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

This scalar function is identically zero if and only if $n = -2$. Incidentally this is the exponent that appears in physics: many vector fields have a fall-off which is proportional to (distance)⁻².